

DECISION

Case number: NDR052-25
Applicant: Shane Michael Veivers
Respondent: George Florentzos
Joanna Florentzos

Before: Member P Roney KC
Date: 1 September 2026
Proceeding type: Tribunal Hearing
Initiating document: Application for a Tree Dispute filed on 18 February 2025

IT IS THE DECISION OF THE TRIBUNAL THAT:

BY CONSENT

1. The Applicant is granted the following orders from the Tribunal:
 - (a) an order that the Respondents be required to, at the Respondents' cost:
 - (i) within 60 days, remove the Trees described as trees 2, 3, 4, and 5 in the Tree Assessment Report; and
 - (ii) within 60 days, remove all other trees where such trees are located:
 - (A) between the eastern end of the easement and Pole 4 (as identified in the statement of Mr Potgieter filed 17 March 2026), as necessary such that a 4m wide vehicle accessible area is created (being vehicles as necessary for the Applicant to comply with the *Electrical Safety Act 2002* (Qld)) and to ensure the Respondent provides 4m of useable access in the vicinity of the pool to the position of Pole 4 to avoid any steep areas adjacent to the northern boundary in that vicinity; and
 - (B) between Pole 4 and Pole 1 (as identified in the statement of Mr Potgieter filed 17 March 2026), an area 4m wide from the northern boundary;
 - (iii) prune the Tree described as tree 1 in the Tree Assessment Report to remove the lateral limb over the Applicant's Property pole 1; and
 - (iv) at least every 12 months, engage a qualified arborist to:
 - (A) properly maintain the Tree described as tree 1 in the Tree Assessment Report to ensure it does not interfere with the Services Infrastructure that service the Applicant's property

(consistent with the Tree Assessor's recommendations at sub-paragraphs 1 to 8 (inclusive) of paragraph 4.10 of the Tree Assessment Report, with the clarification that these recommendations also apply to the telecommunications lines in addition to the electricity lines); and

- (B) remove any new trees that grow on Easement F in that 4 metre corridor; and
 - (C) where necessary, properly maintain all other trees that are located on the Respondent's Property within proximity to Easement F such that no other trees on the Respondent's Property interfere with the Services Infrastructure that service the Applicant's property (consistent with the Tree Assessor's recommendations at sub-paragraphs 1 to 8 (inclusive) of paragraph 4.10 of the Tree Assessment Report, with the clarification that these recommendations also apply to the telecommunications lines in addition to the electricity lines).
- (b) an order that the Respondents must not plant any new trees:
- (i) underneath the Services Infrastructure that service the Applicant's Property; or
 - (ii) within proximity to the Services Infrastructure that service the Applicant's Property such that any such trees could grow to interfere with the Services Infrastructure that service the Applicant's Property.
- (c) an order that these orders apply to the Respondents and to any person who from time to time owns the Respondents' property at 95 Grandview Road, Pullenvale, QLD 4069 (being the relevant tree keeper from time to time for the purposes of the *Neighbourhood Disputes (Dividing Fences and Trees) Act 2011*).
- (d) an order that, for the purposes of compliance with any of Brisbane City Council's local laws, including any Natural Assets Local Law, Brisbane City Council consents to all vegetation clearing, removal and maintenance that is contemplated by these orders such that no further permits are required from Brisbane City Council.
- (e) The parties each have liberty to apply in respect of any aspect of these orders.

Signed



Member P Roney KC
Queensland Civil and Administrative Tribunal